

**ADDENDUM #1
To
RFQ #40-08
Joint City of Peoria – County of
Peoria Solid Waste Disposal Facility
Expansion**

This becomes a permanent part of the Basic Bid Document.

**Pre-Proposal Meeting
August 27, 2008
City Hall – Room 400**

<u>Attendee</u>	<u>Representing</u>
Patrick Sloan	FOTH
Steve Harenberg	Peoria Disposal
Ron Edwards	Peoria Disposal
Chris Coulter	Peoria Disposal
Ron Weik	Peoria Disposal
Matt Coulter	Peoria Disposal
Tim Curry	Veolia ES
Harry Cohen	Veolia ES
Christina Siebert	Shaw Environmental
Joe Farris	Waste Management
Darin Erni	Waste Management
Carl Niemann	Waste Management
Steve Matheney	Waste Management
David Barber	City of Peoria
Chris Switzer	City of Peoria
Connie Colvin	City of Peoria

09/21/2009 16:10 3096812558
SEP-14-2009 MON 04:32 PM PEORIA FINANCE

FAX NO. 3094948510

PAGE 03/06

P. 02

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

David Barber, Public Works Director, introduced himself and Patrick Sloan (FOTH). David stated that an additional meeting may be held at a later date prior to the March 25, 2009 meeting when the proposal is due. It was stated that notes from this meeting will be distributed to all entities who received the original proposal. The floor was opened to questions.

Proposers were encouraged to visit the proposed site.

- Question:** The question of whether or not due diligence activities will be shared with all proposers was brought up.
Answer: These will not be shared with all proposers as this could be considered proprietary information. Only the City and County need to see these after they are submitted.
- Question:** How is the entrance to be, through Waste Management entrance or the entrance to the facilities?
Answer: Prior notification and arrangements with the City – County is required via Pat Sloan of Foth. Entrance will be through the main landfill gate, operated by Waste Management.
- Question:** Will the plan holders and list of potential providers be given to proposers?
Answer: It is attached to this addendum.
- Question:** If proposer does a site tour, who guides this and what notification is needed for Waste Management?
Answer: Request for site tours need to be requested in writing to the City – County via Pat Sloan and arrangements will be made at that time.
- Question:** Should questions be asked now at the meeting or can they be submitted in writing?
Answer: Questions should be put in writing, especially if something is in the proposal which is not do able or unclear.
- Question:** Is it a lateral or vertical expansion of the landfill?
Answer: Proposers are free to consider any type of expansion or system (Patrick Sloan will address this in writing to proposers). It was stated that piggybacking to the existing Landfills may be considered.
- Question:** The assessed liability of Landfill 2 was questioned.
Answer: Patrick Sloan (FOTH) will address this in writing.
- Question:** If landfill 2 is piggybacked, how is the liability defined between Waste Management and the proposer?

- Answer:** This may be something to be worked out between Waste Management and the proposer.
- Question:** Is there a sign ordinance regarding perpetual care? What does Peoria County expect from the proposer regarding this?
- Answer:** Patrick Sloan (FOTH) will answer this in writing.
- Question:** What is the level of detail regarding the conceptual design? Is the entire footprint (complete detail) required or very basic design?
- Answer:** This will be up to the proposer. The proposer, however, needs to be able to stand behind the numbers provided in the proposal. Detail needs not be given but cubic yards should.
- Question:** Any perimeters, limit to height, etc?
- Answer:** Site approval by the County should be compatible to what is current. If the proposed landfill is higher than Landfill No. 2, it should state in proposal.
- Question:** What if siting is not successful?
- Answer:** Contractor is not guaranteed site approval. This situation will be addressed in the negotiations between the City-County and the selected proposer.
- Question:** Will the final grades in the 40 acres soil stockpile area be negotiated?
- Answer:** Pat Sloan will address this in writing. Some assumptions need to be made by the proposer.
- Question:** In the 40 acre soil stockpile area contract language, is land to be returned to grade?
- Answer:** Pat Sloan will address this in writing. It was noted that this prior agreement took care of some wetland issues, but other wetlands will need to be addressed in the expansion.
- Question:** Is there an evaluation on the wetlands by any regulatory body?
- Answer:** Yes. This will be provided.
- Question:** Question of mines was brought up. Is there information on mines or is this covered in the geological information?
- Answer:** Questions or information requested on mines should be put in writing. Regarding the last site approval (Landfill No. 2), County wanted investigation in mines. It was verified that the mine did not extend under Landfill No. 2. This would again be a possible due diligence activity.
- Question:** The mine north of entrance road, studies on this one?

Answer: This is monitored by one groundwater monitoring well, which seems to confirm the accuracy of the mining map.

Question: Regarding site investigation work plan, information wanted prior to proposal, boring locations, proposed boring locations, etc.

Answer: Yes, this information should be provided in writing.

Question: Question of utility locations.

Answer: The person doing the work is responsible for underground utilities, including contacting JULIE.

The next meeting will be held the week of January 12, 2009. Information will be sent to all who received the original proposal.